

Comptroller
BOYD, Jimmy W.
Associate Director, Engineering and
Maintenance Support Division
BUCK, Irvin P.
Associate Director, Customer Support
Division
COGHLAN, Thomas K.
Director, Planning and Analysis
CRUMPTON, Darryl E.
Assistant Director, Data Generation
Division Western Office
GUSTIN, Russell T.
Associate Director, Program Management
Division
HENNIG, Thomas A.
Associate Director, Technology and
Information
HOGAN, William N.
Director, Requirements and Policy
Integration Directorate
IVERY, Barbara A.
Assistant Director, Source Management
Division Western Office
JACKSON, Mikel F.
Assistant Director, Data Generation
Division Eastern Office
JOHNSON, James E.
Associate Director, Support Staff
LENCZOWSKI, Roberta E.
Director, Acquisition and Technology
Group
MADISON, Harold W.
Director, Installation and Management
Group
MUNCY, Larry N.
Associate Director, Source Management
Division
PHILLIPS, Earl W.
Director, Operations Group
SCHNEIER, Jan S.
Associate Director, Data Generation
Division
SCHULT, Mark E.
Associate Director, Operations Support
Division
SMALLING, Marvin E.
Director, Procurement
SMITH, Kathleen M.
Associate Director, Interoperability
Division
SMITH, Lon M.
Associate Director, OG Support Staff
SMITH Robert N.
Associate Director, Customer Services
Division
SMITH, W. Douglas
Deputy Director
SORVIK, John R.
Associate Director, International
Operations Division
WALLACH, Steven P.
Assistant Director for Customer Support/
Modeling and Simulation
WARD, Curtis B.
Associate Director, Customer Support
Division
Dated: August 28, 1996.

L.M. Bynum,
*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison
Officer, Department of Defense.*
[FR Doc. 96-22529 Filed 9-4-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

Department of the Air Force

USAF Scientific Advisory Board Meeting

The USAF SAB 1996 Fall General Board Meeting, USAF Scientific Advisory Board, will meet on 16-17 October 1996 at the Embassy Suites, Old Towne, Alexandria, VA from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

The purpose of the meeting is to conduct an informative session of high-level briefings, SAB Activity updates, and to welcome new members and honor departing members.

The meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with Section 552b of Title 5, United States Code, specifically subparagraphs (1) and (4) thereof.

For further information, contact the Scientific Advisory Board Secretariat at (703) 697-8404.

Patsy J. Conner,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 96-22659 Filed 9-4-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3910-01-W

Department of the Navy

Notice of Availability of Supplemental Information Report for Realignment of Naval Air Station Miramar to Marine Corps Air Station, Miramar, CA

SUMMARY: DON has prepared a Supplemental Information Report (SIR) for realignment of Naval Air Station Miramar to Marine Corps Air Station, Miramar, California, which further explains matters presented in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) and solicits public participation and written comment on the SIR. The comment period will close on October 7, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION OR TO OBTAIN A COPY OF THE SIR: Contact Lieutenant Colonel George Martin at (619) 537-6678. Written comments should be sent to Timarie Seneca (Code 09M1.TS), Southwest Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, 1220 Pacific Highway, San Diego, CA 92132-5190, and must be received by 4:00 PM, October 7, 1996.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Section 102(2) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 and the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations implementing NEPA procedures (40 CFR 1500-1508), the Department of the Navy (DON) prepared and published a FEIS analyzing the impacts associated with the proposal to realign Naval Air Station (NAS) Miramar, in accordance with the

Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act (BRAC) of 1990 (Public Law 101-510). This SIR has been prepared in response to comments received on the FEIS during the comment period, which began May 10, 1996 and ended June 10, 1996, and to address the Biological Opinion issued by the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The Department of the Navy is committed to working with the communities who support its national defense mission by hosting its bases. That commitment includes protection of the environment. The Department of the Navy received over 200 additional comments expressing community concerns after publication of the FEIS. As a result, the Department of the Navy decided to publish this Supplemental Information Report to provide more information on the factors it is considering as part of the decision-making process and to provide a more thorough discussion of matters of concern to the community. Although use of a Supplemental Information Report to address comments on the FEIS is neither required by NEPA nor directed by CEQ Regulations, the Department of the Navy determined that such a document would serve as a vehicle for a more thorough discussion of matters over which there remains public concern. The Supplemental Information Report and the public comments it generates will also provide the decision maker with more detailed analysis for consideration in coming to a final decision, thereby furthering the purposes of NEPA. As the SIR does not present new circumstances or new information relevant to significant environmental impacts of the proposed action or alternatives, it is not intended as a supplement to the FEIS, as defined in section 1502.9(c) of the CEQ Regulations.

The majority of the information contained in this SIR is taken from reports, studies and analyses referenced in the FEIS, such as the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act (BRAC), the BRAC Commission Reports for 1993 and 1995 and supporting analyses, and a biological opinion prepared by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). This SIR clarifies information concerning the alternatives analysis used in the FEIS, discusses issues raised in comments received on the FEIS that addressed specific environmental impacts, summarizes the USFWS Biological Opinion, and provides the public with the opportunity to review and comment on this information. It discusses the BRAC process, how that process led to the development of the purpose and need for the proposed